O/CV/1/26
Defendant- Defendant does not anticipate offering any deposition testimony in chief.

X. Exhibits

Rec'dir evid

Plaintiff's		UN
Tiamini S		<u></u>
Exhibit No.	Document	Objection
6/2/08 ruca	UC&S "Paperless File" (marked Plaintiff's Exhibit I at depositions)	No objection
V 6 Hos reid	"Defendant UC&S's Response to Plainitff's First Set of Interrogatories" (marked Plaintiff's Exhibit H at depositions)	No objection
3. 6 6/08 rech	Letter from Arthur Miller to Lord & Taylor dated February 7, 2000 (marked Defendant's Exhibit B at depositions)	No objection
4.	Letter to Arthur Miller from May Credit Service Center dated April 3, 2000 (marked Defendant's Exhibit C at depositions)	No objection
5. 6/2/08 rec'd	Letter to Wolpoff & Abramson from Arthur Miller dated May 4, 2000 (marked Defendant's Exhibit F at depositions)	Objection. Authenticity
6/2/08 reck	Letter to Arthur Miller from UC&S dated July 18, 2000	No objection
7. 6/2/08 ruck	UC&S/Lord & Taylor Civil Court Complaint against Arthur Miller (marked Defendant's Exhibit J at depositions)	No objection
8.6/2/08 red	Affidavit of Service of Civil Court Complaint	No objection
9.	Arthur Miller Answer to Civil Court Complaint	No objection if document referenced is document with date stamp from Civil Court of April 3, 2001
10./2/08 red	Stipulation of settlement of Civil Court action	No objection
6/2/08 red 11. 6/2/08 red	Lord & Taylor invoices	Objection because no description is given to allow defendants to identify what specific documents plaintiff intends to introduce at

Case 1:01-cv-01126-RRM -RML Document 213 Filed 06/03/08 Page 2 of 3
010V-1126

0101-1126

A 1		
Reco	12.	"Affidavit of Mitchell Sla
1400	6/2/08 ricid	Esq. in Support of Defendence
	6/2/00 rica	Cohen & Slamowitz's M
		Dismiss and/or for Summ

		trial
12.	"Affidavit of Mitchell Slamowitz,	No objection
1/2/200 1	Esq. in Support of Defendant Upton,	
6/2/00 NCd	Cohen & Slamowitz's Motion to	
	Dismiss and/or for Summary	
	Judgment," sworn to on May 22,	
	2001	
• 13.	Letter to Laura Bressler from Cohen	Objection, document
	& Slamowitz dated July 26, 2005	not relevant and not
		produced during
		discovery period
14.	UC&S fully "REDACTED"	Objection, relevancy
	"Paperless File"	
15.	UC&S fully "REDACTED"	Objection, relevancy
	screenshot	
16.	Affidavit of Mitchell Slamowitz,	No objection
	sworn to on March 21, 2006	
17.6/2/10	Partially redacted screenshots from	No objection
1	UC&S, with information from	
ric	October 18, 2000 forward redacted	
18.	Collection-Master Software website	Objection, no
	printout dated July 21, 2006 showing	foundation for
	definition of EDI (Electronic Data	document and
	Interchange)	document is hearsay.
19.	Clients on NAN	Objection, no
		foundation and
		document is hearsay
20.	NAN Matrix	Objection, no
		foundation and
10 only		document is hearsay
21. <i>U</i>	NAN EDI Matrix Codes	Objection, no
		foundation and
		document is hearsay
22.	UC&S Screen Shots (including un-	No objection.
	redacted screenshots from October	
	18, 2000 forward, which will be	
	subpoenaed)	
23.6/2/08	UC&S Summons and Complaint	Objection, documents
1 / 1/2	cd templates	not relevant.
24.	UC&S Affidavit of Facts/Non-	Objection, documents
LD. only	Military Affidavit template	not relevant.
25.	Standard "paperless file" report	Objection, documents
	printout, showing the line of initials	not relevant.
	suppressed by UC&S (marked	
26	Plaintiff's Exhibit D at depositions)	Objection de
26.	Fully un-redacted screenshots from	Objection, documents

Case 1:01-cv-01126-PBM/PML Document 213 (Pled Oblod/De) (Page 3 of 3 /g 3 of 3 / J. D. only -> 28. Jacsinile from Mr. Miller O Upton, Chen & Slam switz UC&S with all information. ruled not relevant and including un-redacted information no challenge to and initials from October 18, 2000 Magistrate's ruling forward (to be subpoenaed for trial) made during discovery period. Not properly sought via a subpoena at trial. 27. All pages of "paperless file" with the Objection, documents "initials" column unsuppressed (to ruled not relevant and be subpoenaed for trial) no challenge to Magistrate's ruling made during discovery period. Not properly sought via a subpoena at trial. Defendant's Objection Exhibit No. Document February 25, 2000 letter from Objection, Wolpoff & Abramson LLP to Arthur relevancy Miller (marked as Defendant's Exhibit D in depositions) April 26, 2000 letter from Wolpoff Objection, & Abramson to Arthur relevancy Miller(marked as Defendant's allmuss Exhibit E in depositions) testings May 31, 2000 letter from Wolpoff & Objection, Abramson to Arthur Miller (marked relevancy as Defendant's Exhibit G in depositions) Consent Judgment and Order of Objection, Dismissal executed by Arthur Miller relevancy and Wolpoff & Abramson Wolpoff & Abramson collection Objection, notes (marked as Exhibit 4) relevancy, hearsay,

Stipulation of Consent to Vacate

Judgment dated March 5, 2001 and

signed by Arthur Miller and UCS

foundation, hearsay, authenticity

Objection,

relevancy